Screw Sense Selective Polymerization of Achiral Isocyanides catalysed by Complexes of Nickel(II) and Optically Active Amines

Paul C. J. Kamer, Roeland J. M. Nolte, and Wiendelt Drenth

Laboratory of Organic Chemistry, University at Utrecht, Padualaan 8, 3584 CH Utrecht, The Netherlands

Polymerization of t-butyl and t-pentyl isocyanide by nickel(n) in the presence of optically active amines yields helical polymers with an enantiomeric excess of up to 61%.

Poly(isocyanides) [poly(iminomethylenes)] are rigid, highly isotactic polymers. 1,2 They consist of right-handed (P) and left-handed (M) helices and, therefore, are chiral. Poly-(isocyanides) are prepared from isocyanides by the catalytic action of nickel(II) salts [reaction (1)]. 1 Mechanistic studies have shown that the polymerization reaction starts by attack of

a nucleophile (Nu) on the square-planar complex Ni(CNR)₄²⁺ [reaction (2)].¹ In the resulting complex the carbon atom of C(Nu)NR has enhanced nucleophilicity and is now able to attack one of its neighbouring, co-ordinated, isocyanide ligands. Once started, the polymerization proceeds in the same direction through a series of consecutive insertion




Figure 1. C.d. spectra of poly(t-butyl isocyanides): (A) optically pure (M)-polymer, (B) polymer sample containing 61% e.e. of (P)-helices (Table 1, run 4). The additional bands at 260 nm are caused by the phenyl rings of the 1-phenylethylamine end groups.

Table 1. Screw sense selective polymerization of t-butyl and t-pentyl isocyanide by $nickel(\pi)$ and optically active initiators.

| | R in | | | | Screw |
|-------|----------------------------|---|-------------------------------|---------|------------------|
| Entry | RNC | Initiatora | $[\alpha]_{D}^{20/^{\circ}b}$ | E.e.,%c | sensed |
| 1 | $\mathbf{B}\mathbf{u}^{t}$ | (S)- $(+)$ -Bu ^s NH ₂ | -3.5 | 7 | P |
| 2 | $\mathbf{B}\mathbf{u}^{t}$ | L-Prolinol | 3.7^{f} | 36 | M |
| 3 | $\mathbf{B}\mathbf{u^t}$ | L-Phenylalaninol | 21.8 | 37 | M |
| 4 | $\mathbf{B}\mathbf{u}^{t}$ | (S)- $(-)$ -CH(Ph)MeNH ₂ | -28.7 | 61 | \boldsymbol{P} |
| 5 | $\mathbf{B}\mathbf{u^t}$ | (S)- $(-)$ -CH(Ph)MeNH ₂ e | -25.8 | 54 | P |
| 6 | Pn^t | (S)- $(-)$ - $CH(Ph)MeNH2$ | -9.7 | g | P |
| 7 | Pnt | (S)- $(-)$ -CH(Ph)MeNH ₂ e | -6.9 | g | P |


^a Catalyst: Ni(Bu^tNC)₄(ClO₄)₂. ^b In CHCl₃, c 0.5—1.0. ^c Enantiomeric excess calculated by comparing the $\Delta\epsilon$ values in the c.d. spectra of the samples with the $\Delta\epsilon$ value of optically pure (M)-(+)-(Bu^tNC)_n, see ref. 5. ^d Determined from the c.d. spectrum of the polymer samples, see ref. 5. ^c Catalyst: Ni(Pn^tNC)₄(ClO₄)₂. ^f Optical rotation is lower than expected from the e.e. value probably owing to an end group effect. ^g Optical rotation and c.d. spectrum of optically pure polymer are unknown.

reactions around the nickel(II) centre. These insertion reactions may proceed in either a clock-wise or counter-clock-wise direction around this centre. In this way, both right-handed and left-handed helices will be formed. We report here that by using an optically active nucleophile, preferentially one type of helix is formed.

$$n \operatorname{R-N=\bar{C}}^{+} \xrightarrow{Ni^{\mathrm{II}}} [\operatorname{R-N=C} <]_{n}$$
 (1)

$$Ni(CNR)_4^{2+} \xrightarrow{Nu} Ni(CNR)_3 \{C(Nu)NR\}_{2+}$$
 (2)

t-Butyl isocyanide and t-pentyl (Pn^t) isocyanide³ were polymerized with a nickel complex prepared by adding 1 equiv. of an optically active amine (Table 1) to Ni(CNR)₄-



 $(ClO_4)_2$ (R = Bu^t or Pn^t). 4† 1.0 mol% of nickel catalyst was used. The reaction time varied between one day and one week. After work-up, polymer samples with $M_n = 2200$ —3400 (end group determination by ¹H n.m.r.) were obtained, which showed optical rotations and c.d. spectra characteristic for right-handed or left-handed helices (Table 1, Figure 1). The extent of asymmetric induction was calculated by comparing the c.d. spectra of the polymer samples with the c.d. spectra of optically pure (M)-(+)-(t-butyl isocyanide). The enantiomeric excess (e.e.) varied between 7 and 61% (Table 1). The highest value was obtained with (S)-(-)-1-phenylethylamine as the initiator and the lowest with (S)-(+)-s-butylamine (Table 1, entries 4 and 1).

We explain our results in the following way. Adding the optically active amine to Ni(CNR)₄²⁺ leads to a complex of type (1).† This reaction probably proceeds via co-ordination of the amine to the nickel centre, whereupon this nucleophile attacks one of the co-ordinated isocyanide ligands.6 Complexes similar to (1) derived from palladium, platinum, and molybdenum have been described before.6 In the first propagation step C1 attacks one of its neighbouring carbon atoms, for instance C² or C⁴. When this attack takes place on C^2 and proceeds in the direction $C^1 \rightarrow C^2 \rightarrow C^3$ a right-handed helix is formed.⁵ When it occurs on C⁴ and subsequently on C3, C2, etc. a left-handed helix is formed. For achiral nucleophiles the attack of C1 on C2 and on C4 have equal probabilities, and a racemic mixture of left-handed and right-handed helices is obtained.⁵ In contrast, for chiral nucleophiles of the type used here, the direction of attack will depend on the position and the steric requirements of the substituents at the chiral carbon centre. In case of (S)-1phenylethylamine and (S)-s-butylamine, polymers with an excess of right-handed screws are formed. This suggests that in the transition state of the first propagation step the phenyl and ethyl groups at the chiral centre are preferentially orientated

† In a typical experiment (S)-(-)-1-phenylethylamine {1.15 mmol, $[\alpha]_{D}^{20}$ 40.3° (neat) was added to a solution of Ni(PntNC)₄(ClO₄)₂ (1.15 mmol)⁴ in CH₂Cl₂ (45 ml). After evaporation in vacuo a solid complex was obtained for which we propose the structure $Ni(\hat{C}NPn^{t})_{3}\{C[NH(Me)Ph]NHPn^{t}\}(ClO_{4})_{2}: [\alpha]_{D}^{20} +9.8^{\circ} (c 0.3,$ CHCl₃), fast-atom bombardment mass spectrum (matrix: glycerol, MeOH, HCl) m/z 666 $(M - \text{ClO}_4)$, 569 $(M - \text{ClO}_4 - \text{C}_5\text{H}_{11}\text{NC})^+$, 505 $(M - 2\text{ClO}_4 - \text{C}_5\text{H}_{11}\text{NC} + \text{Cl})^+, 373 (M - 2\text{ClO}_4 - 2\text{C}_5\text{H}_{11}\text{NC})^+, 311$ $(M - 2\text{ClO}_4 - 3\text{C}_5\text{H}_{11}\text{NC} + \text{Cl})^+$, and 276 $(M - 2\text{ClO}_4 - 3\text{C}_5\text{H}_{11}\text{NC})^+$; i.r. (CH_2Cl_2) : 3290 (NH), 2246, 2247, and 2195 (co-ordinated NC); 1586, 1569, and 1537 (N····C····N) cm⁻¹; ¹H n.m.r. (CDCl₃) δ 7.0—7.5 (m, 5H, ArH) and 0.2—3.0 (m, 50H, remaining H). t-Butyl isocyanide (9.1 mmol) was stirred with a sample of this complex (0.09 mmol, 1.0 mol%) at 25 °C for 4 days. The volatile components were then removed in vacuo and the residue was treated with methanol (10 ml). The solid that precipitated was collected by filtration and washed with methanol to remove the nickel salt and oligomeric products. After drying in vacuo the polymer was obtained as pale yellow solid (0.28 g, 37%); $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ -25.8° (\dot{c} 0.15, CHCl₃), i.r. (KBr) 1630 cm⁻¹; ¹H n.m.r. (CDCl₃) δ 1.32 (s, Bu^t), 7.1 (m, ArH from initiator).

towards the nickel. Consequently, the reaction occurs in the direction of C^2 , which becomes the sterically least hindered reaction path. The markedly higher enantiomeric excess obtained in the reaction with (S)-1-phenylethylamine could indicate that there is an additional stabilizing interaction between the phenyl ring of this amine and the nickel centre.

In the case of L-prolinol and L-phenylalaninol, it is not clear which group actually carries out the nucleophilic attack. It could involve either the amino or the alcohol function. The remaining functional group could co-ordinate as a second ligand to the nickel centre. From the observed screw sense (M-screw, Table 1) we are led to believe that the alcohol function is the nucleophile and the amino function the co-ordinating ligand. Experiments are currently being carried out to verify this.

Received, 17th June 1986; Com. 842

References

- 1 W. Drenth and R. J. M. Nolte, Acc. Chem. Res., 1979, 12, 30.
- 2 F. Millich, Macromol. Rev., 1980, 15, 207.
- 3 R. E. Schuster, J. E. Scott, and J. Casanova, *Org. Synth.*, 1966, 46, 75.
- 4 R. W. Stephany and W. Drenth, Recl. Trav. Chim. Pays-Bas, 1972, 91, 1453.
- 5 A. J. M. van Beijnen, R. J. M. Nolte, A. J. Naaktgeboren, J. W. Zwikker, and W. Drenth, *Macromolecules*, 1983, 16, 1679.
- 6 (a) J. S. Miller and A. L. Balch, Inorg. Chem., 1972, 11, 2069; (b) K. P. Wagner, P. M. Treichel, and J. C. Calabrese, J. Organomet. Chem., 1974, 71, 299; (c) E. M. Badley, J. Chatt, R. L. Richards, and G. A. Sim, Chem. Commun., 1969, 1322; (d) P. Domiano, A. Musatti, M. Nardelli, and G. Predieri, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1975, 2165; (e) R. D. Adams and D. F. Chodosh, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1976, 98, 5391.